

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES
TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL PROGRAM
51 BERKELEY WAY, ANNEX 9
BERKELEY, CA 94704

N00236.000399
ALAMEDA POINT
SSIC NO. 5090.3



7 November, 1989

Captain Roger Boenninghousen, USN
Commanding Officer
Naval Air Station
Alameda, CA 94501-5000

Dear Captain Boenninghousen:

REVIEW OF THE FEASIBILITY STUDY PLAN

Enclosed please find comments on the above-referenced Plan. When the comments are addressed the Feasibility Study Plan will be approved by the Department.

Please respond to the Departments comments on a point-by-point basis and add comments and responses as an appendix to the Final Draft of the Feasibility Study Plan.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Mark Malinowski, (415) 540-2122. Thank you for your cooperation.

SINCERELY,

Mark Malinowski
Engineering Geologist
Region 2
Toxic Substances Control Program

ENCLOSURE

cc: See Attached List

89 NOV 13 AM 11:22

RECEIVED
IN CODE 181

ALAMEDA NAVAL AIR STATION
MAILING LIST

Mr. Randy Cate
Project Officer
Naval Air Station (Code OLE)
Alameda, CA 94501-5000

Ms. Bella Dizon
Western Division
Facilities Engineering
900 Commodor Dr.
San Bruno, CA 94066

Julie Anderson
U.S. EPA
Region IX
215 Fremont St.
San Francisco, CA 94105

Lester Feldman
CA Regional Water Quality Control Board
SF Bay Region
1800 Harrison St.
Oakland, CA 94607

DOHS COMMENTS
GENERAL COMMENTS FOR THE
FEASIBILITY STUDY PLAN
ALAMEDA NAVAL AIR STATION

Pg	Section	Comment
9	3.1	The list of "Potential ARARs" in tables 3-2 and 3-3 are only the Federal ARARs. A table should be made which would include State standards, requirements and criteria for hazardous waste cleanups pursuant to Section 121 (d) of SARA. Examples include, California Coastal Act, California Environmental Quality Act, California Health and Safety Code Div. 20, California Code of Regulation Title 22, California Safe Drinking Water Act and the Porter Cologne Water Quality Control Act.
12	3.2.2.2	The soils section does not address the Estuary, Seaplane Lagoon and coastline bay muds as "affected media." The Department expects the Navy to address the bay muds during the Remedial Investigation and therefore these bay muds should also be included in the Feasibility Study Plan.
13	3.3.1	<p>The Regional Water Quality Control Board sent a letter (27 June 1989) to the Navy which identified that the ground water should be considered potable.</p> <p>The bay muds which are below the site are geologically immature, have not been compacted or dewatered and cannot be expected to act as an impermeable bed to "prevent the migration of many chemicals."</p>
Table 3-1		Update Table 3-1 to reflect the new Maximum Contaminant Levels. The table should include chemicals listed in Title 22, Article 5.5 Section 64444.5.